

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/662,135	VAN ZANDT, MICHAEL C.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Rebecca L. Anderson	1626	

**All Participants:**

(1) Rebecca L. Anderson.

(2) James T. Wasicak.

**Status of Application:** allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 26 October 2006

**Time:** 1:15pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
n/a

Claims discussed:  
1, 18 and 42

Prior art documents discussed:  
n/a

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative agreed to an examiner's amendment to delete the parenthesis from the statements "(which may be substituted with one or more halogens)" at each occurrence of claims 1 and 18 and agreed to delete the phrase "a predetermined amount of" from claim 42..